

**UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL  
on  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

**IN RE: MCKINSEY & COMPANY, INC., NATIONAL  
PRESCRIPTION OPIATE CONSULTANT  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2996

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

**CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO –33)**

On June 7, 2021, the Panel transferred 17 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. *See* 543 F.Supp.3d 1377 (J.P.M.L. 2021). Since that time, 114 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Breyer.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of June 7, 2021, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is  
pending at this time, the  
stay is lifted.

**Nov 09, 2022**

CLERK'S OFFICE  
UNITED STATES  
JUDICIAL PANEL ON  
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION


FOR THE PANEL:




John W. Nichols  
Clerk of the Panel

I hereby certify that the annexed  
instrument is a true and correct copy  
of the original on file in my office.

ATTEST:  
MARK B. BUSBY  
Clerk, U.S. District Court  
Northern District of California

by:   
Deputy Clerk  
Date: 11/09/2022



**IN RE: MCKINSEY & COMPANY, INC., NATIONAL  
PRESCRIPTION OPIATE CONSULTANT  
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2996

**SCHEDULE CTO-33 – TAG-ALONG ACTIONS**

<b><u>DIST</u></b>	<b><u>DIV.</u></b>	<b><u>C.A.NO.</u></b>	<b><u>CASE CAPTION</u></b>
<b>CALIFORNIA EASTERN</b>			
CAE	1	22-01340	County of Inyo et al v. McKinsey and Company, INC.
CAE	1	22-01370	County of Calaveras, et al. v. McKinsey and Company, Inc.
CAE	1	22-01379	County of Madera, et al v. McKinsey and Company, Inc.
CAE	2	22-01876	County of El Dorado et al v. McKinsey and Company, Inc.
CAE	2	22-01905	County of Trinity et al., v. McKinsey and Company, Inc.
CAE	2	22-01912	County of Butte et al v. McKinsey and Company, Inc.
CAE	2	22-01917	County of Amador et al v. McKinsey and Company, Inc.
<b>CALIFORNIA SOUTHERN</b>			
CAS	3	22-01624	County of San Diego et al v. Mckinsey and Company, Inc.
CAS	3	22-01660	County of Imperial et al v. Mckinsey and Company, Inc.
CAS	3	22-01661	City of Chula Vista et al v. Mckinsey and Company, Inc.
<b>OREGON</b>			
OR	3	22-01648	City of Portland, Oregon v. McKinsey and Company, Inc.